

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,869	08/04/2003	Rick K. Dodge	ITO.0050US (P16247)	5264	
7590 01/31/2005		EXAMINER			
Timothy N. Trop			DANG, P	DANG, PHUC T	
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
STE 100			ART ONT	TALERIOMBER	
8554 KATY FWY			2818		
HOUSTON, TX 77024-1841			DATE MAILED: 01/31/2005	;	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
055' 4-4' 0	10/633,869	DODGE, RICK K				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	PHUC T DANG	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, ly within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 A	<u> August 2003</u> .	,				
, _	s action is non-final.					
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	·		e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 193	.5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 5) Claim(s) <u>26-30</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,11-18 and 20-25</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>4,6-10 and 19</u> is/are objected to. 	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ○ Claim(s) <u>26-30</u> is/are allowed. ○ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,11-18 and 20-25</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
•— •	The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the at	lached Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been receive ts have been receive ority documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No been received in this National).	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>110303</u>. 	5) 🔲 No	per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application (PT ner:	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

The oath/declaration filed on August 4, 2003 is acceptable. 1.

Information Disclosure Statement

The office acknowledges receipt of the following items from the applicant: 2.

Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on November 3, 2003.

Drawings

The drawings a re objected to because of the followings:

In Figs. 1-3, the lower electrode 12 is shown, but the upper electrode (pair of the electrodes) does not include as claimed in the invention. Correction is required.

Specification

The specification has been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. However, the applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor

Art Unit: 2818

and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-3, and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Wicker (US 2004/0113135 A1).

Regarding claims 1 and 23-24, Wicker discloses a method comprising:

forming a phase change memory pore (20, Fig. 1) including a breakdown layer (14, Fig. 1) which positioned between a pair of electrodes (16 and 20, Fig. 1) and increasing the likelihood that the breakdown will occur in one region rather than another region of the layer [page 1 [0012]].

Regarding claim 2, Wicker discloses a step of forming a phase change memory pore by forming an electrode (20, Fig. 1) over a substrate (24, Fig. 1); forming a dielectric layer (18, Fig. 1) with an aperture (20, Fig. 1); and forming a breakdown layer (14, Fig. 1) over the electrode (20, Fig. 1) in the aperture.

Regarding claim 3, Wicker discloses forming a phase change material over the layer and coupling the phase change material between the upper conductive and a lower conductive film [page 1 [0018]].

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Tsukamoto et al., hereinafter "Tsukamoto" (U.S. Patent No. 4,931,897).

Tsukamoto discloses a memory comprising a breakdown layer (5, Fig. 1D) between a pair of electrodes (3 and 6, Fig. 1E), the breakdown layer (5, Fig. 1D) being ion implanted (6, Fig.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,869 Page 4

Art Unit: 2818

1B) to increase the likelihood that breakdown will occur in one region rather than another region of the layer [col. 4, lines 37-col. 6, lines 20].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 5, 12-18 and 20-22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wicker in view of Tsukamoto.

Regarding claim 5, 12, 14-18 and 20-21 and 25, Wicker discloses a method comprising:

a processor-based device (52, Fig. 5);

a wireless interface (56, Fig. 5) coupled to the processor-based device (52, Fig. 5); and

a semiconductor memory which is a phase change memory (10, Figs. 1 and 5) coupled to the device, the memory including a pair of electrodes (16 and 20, Fig. 1), a breakdown layer

914, Fig. 1) which is formed by nitride between the pair of electrodes (16 and 20, Fig. 1).

Wicker discloses all the features of the claimed invention as discussed above, but does not disclose the breakdown layer being ion implanted to increase the likelihood that breakdown will occur in one region rather than another region of the layer.

Page 5

Tsukamoto, however, discloses the breakdown layer (5, Fig. 1D) being ion implanted (6, Fig. 1B) to increase the likelihood that breakdown will occur in one region rather than another region of the layer [col. 4, lines 37-col. 6, lines 20].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Tsukamoto to Wicker discussed above such that the breakdown layer being ion implanted to increase the likelihood that breakdown will occur in one region rather than another region of the layer for a purpose of improving a phase change memory.

Regarding claims 13 and 22, Wicker discloses the phase change material is a chalcogenide [page 1 [0010]].

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 26-30 would be allowed.

The following is a statement of reason for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 26-30 are considered allowable since the prior art of record and the considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure does not teach or suggest the claimed invention having a breakdown layer between a pair of electrodes, the breakdown layer having a central region and a peripheral region between the electrodes, such that breakdown is more likely to occur in one of than the other of the regions.

Claims 4, 6-10 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the Prior Art made of record discloses including coupling a lower conductive line to said electrode through a selector as cited in claim 4 and a step including implanting at an angle as cited in claim 6 and a step including forming a relatively centrally located within the pore that is more likely to breakdown as cited in claim 8 and a step including forming a relatively peripherally located region in the pore that is more likely to breakdown as cited in claim 9 and a step including damaging one region of said layer to change the likelihood that a breakdown will occur in that region relative to another region as cited in claim 10 and wherein a central portion of the layer is ion implanted and a peripheral region of the breakdown layer is not ion implanted as cited in claim 19.

Claim 7 is depend on claim 6, then, it also would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 9. should be directed to Phuc T. Dang whose telephone number is (571) 272-1776. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am-5:00 pm.
- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, 10. David C. Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.
- Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding 11. should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Art Unit: 2818

Phuc T. Dang

PO Waneyshur

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2818